Inhibition of DNA damage repair by the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib delays irradiated intracranial atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor and glioblastoma xenograft regrowth
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Background. Radiation therapy is the most commonly used postsurgical treatment for primary malignant brain tumors. Consequently, investigating the efficacy of chemotherapeutics combined with radiation for treating malignant brain tumors is of high clinical relevance. In this study, we examined the cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib, when used in combination with radiation for treating human atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor (ATRT) as well as glioblastoma (GBM).

Methods. Evaluation of treatment antitumor activity in vitro was based upon results from cell proliferation assays, clonogenicity assays, flow cytometry, and immunocytochemistry for DNA double-strand break repair. Interpretation of treatment antitumor activity in vivo was based upon bioluminescence imaging, animal subject survival analysis, and staining of tumor sections for markers of proliferation and apoptosis.

Results. For each of the retinoblastoma protein (RB)-proficient tumor models examined (2 ATRTs and 2 GBMs), one or more of the combination therapy regimens significantly \( P < .05 \) outperformed both monotherapies with respect to animal subject survival benefit. Among the combination therapy regimens, concurrent palbociclib and radiation treatment and palbociclib treatment following radiation consistently outperformed the sequence in which radiation followed palbociclib treatment. In vitro investigation revealed that the concurrent use of palbociclib with radiation, as well as palbociclib following radiation, inhibited DNA double-strand break repair and promoted increased tumor cell apoptosis.

Conclusions. Our results support further investigation and possible clinical translation of palbociclib as an adjuvant to radiation therapy for patients with malignant brain tumors that retain RB expression.
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Palbociclib (PD0332991) is an orally available pyridopyrimidine derivative that selectively inhibits cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6 (CDK4/6), leading to reduced retinoblastoma protein (RB) phosphorylation and G1-phase cell-cycle arrest. Palbociclib has been shown to inhibit the growth of several types of RB-proficient and p16-deficient human tumor xenografts, including glioblastoma (GBM), that had been intracranially engrafted. These studies have helped to motivate clinical trial evaluations of the CDK4/6 inhibitor (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=Palbociclib&Search=Search), one of which resulted in the recommended use of palbociclib with letrozole for treating postmenopausal women with estrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer, as initial endocrine-based therapy for metastatic disease.

We previously determined that the amount of palbociclib present in intracranial GBM xenografts, following oral administration of the drug, was sufficient to achieve an antiproliferative effect. Our GBM xenograft study also demonstrated superior antitumor activity when combining palbociclib with radiation as compared with either radiation or palbociclib monotherapy. Palbociclib in combination with radiation led to a greater reduction in size of xenograft tumors and resulted in an increased tumor-free duration in animal subjects. We evaluated orthotopic xenograft GBM and atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor (ATRT) models, with emphasis on the latter based upon our analysis of RB and p16 expression in ATRT cell lines and the important role of radiation therapy for the treatment of ATRT. Our results indicated that treatment with palbociclib following surgical resection should benefit brain tumor patients with RB-proficient brain cancer who are undergoing or have just completed radiation therapy. Moreover, we showed that the mechanism by which palbociclib increases the antitumor activity of radiation involves delayed DNA double-strand break repair, which promotes increased tumor cell apoptosis.

### Materials and Methods

#### Tumor Cell Sources

IN1-deficient BT12 and BT16 ATRT cell lines were propagated as monolayers in complete medium consisting of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM, Gibco #11965) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and nonessential amino acids. IN1-deficient CHLA02-ATRT cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and maintained as a neurosphere culture in medium consisting of Neurobasal-A medium (Gibco #10888, Invitrogen) supplemented with N-2 (Invitrogen), B27 (Invitrogen), EGF (20 ng/mL, Sigma), and FGF (20 ng/mL, Peprotech). ATRT SF8219 cells were established from a University of California San Francisco surgical specimen obtained from a 3-year-old male through an approved Committee on Human Research protocol. Definitive tumor classification was based on negative staining for the INI1 gene product. The ATRT SF8219 cell line is maintained as a monolayer culture in DMEM with 10% FBS. GS2 GBM cells are maintained as a neurosphere culture, as previously described. All cells were cultured in an incubator at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. GBM34 and GBM43 were established from adult patients with GBM and propagated as subcutaneous xenografts, as previously described.

#### Immunoblotting

Cell lysates were collected from asynchronously proliferating cells using lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technologies) supplemented with protease (Roche) and phosphatase (Sigma) inhibitor cocktails. Lysates were resolved by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. After probing with primary antibodies, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody and visualized by ECL (GE Healthcare). Antibodies specific for RB (4H1, #9309), phosho-RB (p-RB, Ser780, #9307), Cyclin D1 (DCS6, #2926), Cyclin D3 (DCS22, #2936), CDK4 (DCS156, #2906), and CDK6 (DCS83, #3136) were obtained from Cell Signaling Technologies. Antibody for p16 was from BD Pharmingen (#554079), and β-tubulin antibody was from EMD Millipore (clone AA2, #05-661). ImageJ 1.49 software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) was used to determine the relative intensity of phospho-RB expression according to online instructions. Phospho-RB band intensities were normalized against corresponding total RB band intensities for determining palbociclib effects on phospho-RB.

#### Cell Proliferation

Tumor cells were cultured in the presence of 0, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, or 10 μM palbociclib (Pfizer) for 4 days. Proliferation effect was assessed by counting viable cells using a hemocytometer, following trypan blue staining of cells collected from cultures. All in vitro assays and analyses were performed at least 3 times, with mean and SD values plotted from the results of each type of analysis.

#### Clonogenic Survival Assay

Cells were seeded into 6-well tissue culture plates and allowed to adhere for 16 hours. Attached cells were irradiated (2, 4, 6, or 8 Gy) and treated with 50 nM palbociclib either at the time of irradiation, 18 hours before irradiation, or 6 hours after irradiation. Radiation was delivered by a 137Cs source (Mark I, model 68A irradiator, JL Shepherd & Associates) as previously described. Cells were incubated with 50 nM palbociclib for 3 weeks, at which time colonies were counted following staining with methylene blue (0.66% solution in 95% ethanol). Plating efficiencies were calculated as the ratio of the number of colonies formed to the number of cells seeded. Colonies of >50 cells were counted for surviving fraction determinations. Surviving fractions were calculated as the plating efficiency of treated cells divided by the plating efficiency of control cells, as previously described. Dose enhancement factors were calculated at 10% survival.
Fluorescent Immunocytochemistry

Treated cells on cover slips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at 1 and 24 hours post irradiation. Cells were then rinsed in PBS and blocked in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 and 5% FBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were then incubated overnight at 4°C with 1:800 mouse-anti-γ-histone-H2AX antibody (Millipore) and 1:100 rabbit-anti-53BP1 antibody (Novus Biologicals) in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 and 5% FBS. This was followed by incubating the cells for 50 minutes at room temperature in the dark with 1:500 goat-anti-rabbit/Alexa568 antibody (Invitrogen) and then with 1:800 rabbit-anti-mouse/Alexa488 immunoglobulin (DAKO). Each secondary antibody was diluted in PBS containing 5% FBS. The cover slips were rinsed in PBS 4 times, and nuclei were stained by incubating the cover slips at room temperature in the dark with 1:500 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) diluted in PBS. This was followed by successive rinses in PBS and sterile water. The cover slips were then mounted on glass slides using Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) and analyzed with a Carl Zeiss Axioimager 2 microscope.

Modification of Tumor Cells with Firefly Luciferase Reporter

Lentiviral vectors containing firefly luciferase were generated as previously described and used to transduce BT12 and BT16 cells. The cells were screened in vitro for transduction efficiency by treatment with luciferin (D-luciferin potassium salt, 150 mg/kg, Gold Biotechnology) and analysis for luminescence efficiency by treatment with luciferin (D-luciferin potassium salt, 150 mg/kg, Gold Biotechnology) and analysis for luminescence using the IVIS Lumina System (Caliper Life Sciences).

Animals

Six-week-old female athymic mice (nu/nu genotype, BALB/c background) were purchased from Simonsen Laboratories and housed under aseptic conditions, which included filtered air and sterilized food, water, bedding, and cages. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved all animal protocols.

Tumor Cell Implantation and Bioluminescence Imaging

Tumor cells were implanted into the brains of athymic mice as previously described. In vivo bioluminescence imaging (BLI) was performed with the IVIS Lumina System (Caliper Life Science) coupled to Living Image data-acquisition software. Mice were anesthetized with 100 mg/kg of ketamine and 10 mg/kg of xylazine and imaged 10 minutes after intraperitoneal injection of D-luciferin (potassium salt, 150 mg/kg, Gold Biotechnology). Intracranial signal intensities were quantified within regions of interest defined by the Living Image software. Bioluminescence measurements for each animal were normalized against their own corresponding bioluminescence obtained at the beginning of therapy.

Treatment of Tumor-bearing Athymic Mice with Palbociclib and Radiation

Athymic mice implanted with tumor cells were randomized to 4 treatment groups: vehicle control (50 mM sodium lactate, pH 4), palbociclib treatment, RT (137Cs source), or combined palbociclib and RT. The palbociclib treatment group received a daily dose of palbociclib (150 mg/kg) by oral administration for 14 consecutive days. The RT group received radiation at 1.0 Gy for 5 days. All mice were monitored every day for the development of symptoms related to tumor growth, and mice injected with luciferase-modified cells were monitored twice weekly by BLI. Mice were euthanized when they exhibited symptoms indicative of impaired neurologic function.

Tumor Histologic Analyses

To evaluate treatment effects on tumor cell proliferation and apoptosis, mice were euthanized after the final treatment of the regimen requiring the longest period of treatment (19 days), and their brains were immediately resected and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Paraformaldehyde-fixed brains were paraffin-embedded brains were immediately resected and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde. Paraformaldehyde-fixed brains were paraffin-embedded and sectioned (10 μm) for hematoxylin-eosin and TUNEL staining (DeadEnd Colorimetric TUNEL system, Promega) as previously described. To assess treatment effects on tumor cell proliferation, mitotic indices were calculated by counting mitoses per field, over 10 fields per tumor, at 400× (each visual field at 400× = 0.22 mm²). Likewise, apoptosis were quantified by counting TUNEL-positive cells per field, over 10 fields per tumor, at 400×. The review of stained specimens was performed by C.H. while blinded to the treatment group.

Statistical Analysis

The Kaplan–Meier method was used to generate survival curves. Differences between survival curves were calculated using a log-rank test. Cell viability measurements were fitted to a linear quadratic mathematical model using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. Nonlinear least squares curve fitting was used to calculate IC50 values relative to untreated cultures. A 2-tailed unpaired t test was applied using Prism software for comparing the significance of difference in results between groups. Two-way comparisons of animal subject survival results (for experiments involving combination treatments) were corrected for multiple testing using the Bonferroni method.

Results

RB Pathway Status in ATRT and in Vitro Response to Palbociclib Treatment

To assess RB pathway status in ATRT cell lines, we used Western blot analysis to examine the protein expression of key pathway components. Our results showed variable but readily detectable expression of RB, CDK4, and CDK6 in 4 ATRT cell lines (BT12, BT16, CHLA02, and SF8219), whereas p16 expression was either not detected or extremely low in these cells when compared with the GBM cell line GS2, which is p16-positive and RB-negative (Fig. 1).

One μM palbociclib treatment for 18 hours reduced RB phosphorylation in the ATRT cell lines (49.3%–81.1%; Fig. 1) but did not affect the expression of either RB or the RB-modifying enzymes CDK4 and CDK6 (Fig. 1). Palbociclib inhibition of RB phosphorylation in the ATRT cell lines was both concentration and
Response of ATRT Intracranial Xenografts to Palbociclib

To evaluate palbociclib treatment in vivo, athymic mice were implanted with luciferase-expressing ATRT cells. The mice were randomized into 2 groups (ie, a vehicle control group and a palbociclib treatment group) on day 10 post implantation. The palbociclib treatment group received 150 mg/kg palbociclib by oral gavage daily for 2 weeks. BLI showed that palbociclib treatment inhibited tumor growth throughout the 2 week course of treatment (Supplementary material, Fig. S4).

Significant increases in ATRT tumor bioluminescence were observed 9–12 days following completion of palbociclib treatment, suggesting that sustained tumor growth inhibition requires sustained administration of palbociclib. Consistent with the BLI results indicating ATRT xenograft growth delay from palbociclib treatment, mice receiving palbociclib experienced significantly increased survival compared with mice receiving vehicle only (median survival increased by 7 days for BT12 and by 12 days for BT16, with each increase being significant: \( P < .001 \), see Supplementary material, Fig. S4). No survival benefit from palbociclib treatment was evident for mice with intracranial GS2 (RB-deficient) tumors.

**ATRT Cell in Vitro Response to Combined Radiation + Palbociclib Treatment**

Next, we investigated the antitumor effects of combined palbociclib + RT of ATRT cells in vitro. Palbociclib was added to BT12 and BT16 ATRT cell cultures either before, concurrent with, or after radiation. The treated cells were then analyzed for growth effect by clonogenic assay. All treatment sequences reduced ATRT cell colony formation compared with that of radiation-only treatment (Fig. 2). Palbociclib treatment after radiation resulted in the largest reduction of BT12 and BT16 colony formation (radiation dose enhancement factors of 1.60 and 1.70, respectively, Fig. 2A). Exposure of cells to palbociclib before radiation showed the least radiation dose enhancement (radiation dose enhancement factors of 1.16 and 1.18, respectively, Fig. 2B). Palbociclib treatment with concurrent radiation was intermediate in its radiation-enhancing effect (radiation dose enhancement factors of 1.33 and 1.40, respectively, Fig. 2C).

To better understand the hierarchical antitumor effect of palbociclib and RT sequencing (ie, RT then palbociclib is greater than RT with palbociclib which is greater than palbociclib followed by RT), we compared the cell-cycle distribution effects of monotherapy versus combination treatments (Supplementary material, Fig. S2 and Supplementary material, Table S1). For BT12 cells, 2 combination treatment regimens (concurrent and palbociclib followed by RT) increased the G2/M cell fraction relative to RT-only treatment (from 29.60% to 36.20% and 35.61%, respectively), increased the sub-G1 cell fraction relative to RT-only treatment (from 1.65% to 2.67% and 2.68%, respectively), and decreased the S phase fraction when compared with palbociclib-only treatment (from 6.10% to 1.83% and 0.61%, respectively). In BT16 cells, these same 2 combination treatment regimens decreased G2/M cell fractions in relation to RT only (49.40% compared with 44.60% and 43.50%, respectively), increased the sub-G1 cell fraction relative to RT-only (from 2.65% to 4.22% and 4.60%, respectively), and decreased the proportion of cells in S phase when compared with palbociclib-only (from 4.60% to 2.58% and 1.60%, respectively). Thus, and in relation to monotherapy effects, palbociclib treatment during or following RT maintained a high proportion of G2/M cells, increased the proportion of apoptotic cells, and decreased the proportion of cells in the more radioresistant S phase. In contrast, palbociclib treatment prior to RT reduced the proportion of radiosensitive G2/M cells and increased the proportion of cells in the more radiosensitive G1 phase relative to RT only.

![Fig. 1. Expression of retinoblastoma protein (RB) pathway proteins and palbociclib-associated inhibition of RB phosphorylation in atypical-teratoid rhabdoid tumor (ATRT) cell lines. ATRT (BT12, BT16, CHLA02, and SF8219) and GBM (GS2) cells were incubated in the presence (+) or absence (−) of 1 μM palbociclib for 18 hours, harvested from culture, lysed to obtain protein extracts that were subjected to immunoblot analysis with antibodies against the indicated proteins following electrophoresis through 4%–12% gradient polyacrylamide gels and electroblotting onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Band intensities were determined using ImageJ software, with phospho-RB intensities normalized against corresponding total RB band intensities. The results of normalizing band intensities showed 69.7%, 75.5%, 81.1%, and 50.7% reductions in corresponding total RB band intensities. The results of normalizing band intensities showed 69.7%, 75.5%, 81.1%, and 50.7% reductions in corresponding total RB band intensities.](http://neuro-oncology.oxfordjournals.org/issue)
We next examined the effect of palbociclib on radiation-induced DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair. For this analysis, fluorescence immunocytochemistry of DSB marker phospho-histone H2AX (γH2AX) and 53BP1 were used to quantify DNA damage and to determine whether palbociclib influences DSB repair. Exposure of ATRT cells to 6 Gy irradiation resulted in large increases of γH2AX and 53BP1 foci (>30 foci/cell) one hour following irradiation (Fig. 3A and B). Significant reductions in γH2AX and 53BP1 immunocytochemical positivity were evident by 24 hours (Fig. 3A). In irradiated cultures treated with palbociclib, significantly higher levels of γH2AX and 53BP1 persisted at 24 hours compared with cells exposed to radiation alone. The highest levels were observed for cultures treated either concurrently with palbociclib and RT or treated with palbociclib subsequent to RT (BT12: RT + palbociclib = 11.04% for γH2AX, and RT -> palbociclib = 8.04% for 53BP1; BT16: RT + palbociclib = 8.73% for γH2AX, and RT + palbociclib = 6.74% for 53BP1. See Fig. 3A and B).

Response of ATRT Intracranial Xenografts to Radiation + Palbociclib Combination Therapy

Before conducting experiments to evaluate combination treatment effects in vivo, we examined ATRT intracranial xenograft response to RT only and found that 1.0 Gy/day for 5 consecutive days delayed tumor growth and extended survival using both the BT12 and BT16 models (BT12 and BT16 median survivals extended by 18 and 10 days, respectively, with \( P < .001 \)) when compared with control group survivors (Supplementary material, Fig. S5). These radiation monotherapy results were then used for testing combination therapy regimens in experiments consisting of 6 treatment groups: (i) control (vehicle alone); (ii) RT only (1.0 Gy/day \( \times 5 \) days); (iii) palbociclib only (150 mg/kg/day \( \times 14 \) days); (iv) concurrent palbociclib and RT (palbociclib for 14 days with RT during the first 5 days); (v) palbociclib followed by RT (palbociclib for 14 days followed by RT for 5 days); and (vi) RT followed by palbociclib (RT for 5 days followed by palbociclib for 14 days). For each tumor model, BLI and survival analysis showed that all of the combination therapy regimens outperformed each of the monotherapy regimens (Fig. 4, Tables 1 and 2). Antitumor activity and survival benefit were most pronounced for mice receiving palbociclib after completion of RT (BT12 mean and median survivals increased by 31.3 and 26.0 days, respectively, in relation to control group mice; BT16 mean and median survivals increased by 33.2 and 31.5 days, respectively; for mice receiving concurrent treatment of palbociclib and RT; BT12 mean and median survival increased by 32.0 and 34.5 days, respectively).

The BT12 xenograft experiment (Fig. 4) included mice that were euthanized at the end of treatment to obtain intracranial tumor samples for analyzing tumor-cell proliferation (mitotic figures) and apoptosis (TUNEL staining). Among combination treatments, antiproliferative effects were greatest for concurrent administration of palbociclib and RT, intermediate for palbociclib treatment following RT, and least for RT following palbociclib (0.69 vs 1.65 vs 2.00 mitoses per field, respectively). TUNEL staining results showed the highest proportion of positive cells in tumors from mice receiving palbociclib after RT, followed by tumors from mice receiving concurrent treatment, with RT following palbociclib resulting in a lower frequency of TUNEL-positive cells (7.83 vs 5.32 vs 3.83 positive cells per...
Fig. 3. Effect of palbociclib on γ-H2AX and 53BP1 foci formation in irradiated atypical-teratoid rhabdoid tumor (ATRT) cells. ATRT cells (5.0 × 10⁴) were seeded in chamber slides. Samples were irradiated (6 Gy) either in the absence or presence of 1 μM palbociclib. The palbociclib treatments were initiated either 18 hours prior to irradiation (palbociclib -> RT), at the time of irradiation (palbociclib + RT), or 6 hours after irradiation (RT -> palbociclib). Cell samples were collected 24 hours after irradiation, fixed in paraformaldehyde, incubated with mouse-anti-γ-H2AX or rabbit-anti-53BP1 and then with goat-anti-mouse/Alexa488 or goat-anti-rabbit/Alexa568 antibodies, counterstained with DAPI, and analyzed for fluorescent foci. Foci were counted in 100 cells per treatment condition. (A) Representative images of nuclei from each treatment, including a sample collected 1 hour after irradiation showing γ-H2AX (upper) and 53BP1 (lower) foci. (B) Mean and SD values for γ-H2AX and 53BP1 foci/nucleus are shown and are based on foci counts from 3 independent experiments. Unpaired t test values for γ-H2AX comparisons between treatments: * and ** indicate P < .0001; **** indicates P = .0341 for BT12, and P < .0001 for BT16; ***** indicates P = .0031 for BT12. Unpaired t test values for 53BP1 comparisons between treatments: * indicates P < .0001; ** indicates P = .0002 for BT12, and P < .0001 for BT16; *** indicates P = .0030 for BT12 and .0031 for BT16; and **** indicates P = .0005 for BT12 and P < .0001 for BT16.
Fig. 4. Palbociclib combined with radiation treatment (RT) extends the survival of mice with intracranial atypical-teratoid rhabdoid tumor (ATRT) and glioblastoma (GBM) xenografts. Luciferase-modified BT12 (A) and BT16 (B) ATRT cells were injected into the brains of athymic mice. Mice were subsequently randomized to 6 treatment groups: control, RT only (1 Gy/day for 5 consecutive days), palbociclib only (150 mg/kg/day for 14 consecutive days), RT during the first 5 days of palbociclib administration (palbociclib + RT), RT after 2 weeks of palbociclib treatment (palbociclib -> RT), and RT before 2 weeks of palbociclib treatment (RT -> palbociclib). The red and blue lines with arrowheads (beneath the BT12 growth plot at the left) indicate the days of treatment with radiation (red) and/or palbociclib (blue). The same regimens were used for treating mice with intracranial BT16 xenografts (B), as well as mice with intracranial GBM xenografts (C). The origins of the BLI tumor growth curves (A and B) indicate the day of treatment initiation for the ATRT models. For GBM 34, treatments were initiated on day 13, whereas treatments were initiated on day 7 for GBM43. Points on the ATRT growth curves show mean and SD for normalized BLI values of the mice for each treatment group. Individual mouse imaging results from the last imaging day (on which all mice were alive) are displayed as dot plots immediately to the right of the growth curve plots for BT12 and BT16, and for which group mean values are indicated by a horizontal line. Lines with asterisks denote 2-way comparisons for which Student t test values were <.05. To the far right, in (A and B) are corresponding treatment group survival plots. (C) Survival plots for treatments of mice with intracranial GBM34 and GBM43 xenografts. For group survival statistics see Tables 1 and 2.
field, respectively). No TUNEL positivity was evident in normal brain surrounding tumor in mice receiving any of the combination therapy treatments (Supplementary material, Fig. S6).

Analysis of Combination Therapy Sequencing in Treating Intracranial GBM Xenografts

To investigate whether the ATRT results involving combination therapy sequencing could be extended to GBM, we performed additional experiments using RB-proficient GBM34 and GBM43 xenografts. The results for GBM43 were similar to those obtained when using the ATRT xenograft models (ie, all combination treatments significantly outperformed each monotherapy, Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 4). For GBM34, concurrent palbociclib + RT and palbociclib following RT extended mean and median survivals relative to either monotherapy (Table 1). However, the only significant monotherapy versus combination survival difference for GBM34 involved the comparison of palbociclib only versus RT followed by palbociclib ($P<.001$; Table 2). None of the combination treatments for GBM34 significantly outperformed RT alone.

Discussion

ATRT is genetically defined by biallelic inactivation of the $INI1/hSNF5$ tumor suppressor gene. Among its functions, $INI1/hSNF5$ is critical for regulating the expression of CDKN2A. The presence of functional CDKN2A, which encodes p16, is important for $INI1$ tumor-suppressor activity, and a major consequence of $INI1$ genetic inactivation is reduced p16 expression that in turn is known to result in increased CDK4/6 activity promoting the phosphorylation and inactivation of RB protein. Therefore, targeting activated CDK4/6 in these tumors, as previously suggested by others investigating palbociclib activity against cultured rhabdoid tumor cell lines, is logical and could prove efficacious for treating rhabdoid tumor patients.

Tumor RB protein expression is required for palbociclib inhibitory activity, and 4 of the 5 tumor models used in the present study (BT12, BT16, GBM34, and GBM43) express RB and are inhibited by palbociclib treatment. GS2 expresses no RB protein (Fig. 1), and GS2 cells as well as derivative xenografts are unresponsive to palbociclib (Supplementary material, Figs S3 and S4). Beyond the requirement for RB expression, additional factors that are considered as potential determinants of extent and duration of tumor response to palbociclib include the expression levels of p16, CDK4, and CDK6 in RB-proficient tumors as well as the expression of additional cell-cycle regulators including cyclin E and p27KIP1, and D-type cyclins. These factors—and others yet to be determined—may contribute to the differential outcome observed in GBM43 compared with the other xenograft models.

Table 1. Mean and median survival* for combination therapy experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor: Treatment</th>
<th>Mean (days)</th>
<th>Median (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BT12: Control</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT12: RT only</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT12: PB only</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT12: PB -&gt; RT</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT12: PB + RT</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>70.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT12: RT -&gt; PB</td>
<td><strong>74.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>72.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT16: Control</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>31.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT16: RT only</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT16: PB only</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT16: PB -&gt; RT</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT16: PB + RT</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td><strong>66.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT16: RT -&gt; PB</td>
<td><strong>66.3</strong></td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM34: Control</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM34: RT only</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM34: PB only</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM34: PB -&gt; RT</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM34: PB + RT</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM34: RT -&gt; PB</td>
<td><strong>43.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>41.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM43: Control</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM43: RT only</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM43: PB only</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM43: PB -&gt; RT</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM43: PB + RT</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td><strong>34.0</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM43: RT -&gt; PB</td>
<td><strong>33.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>34.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GBM, glioblastoma; PB, palbociclib; RT, radiation treatment.

*Entries in bold indicate longest length of survival for each xenograft model.

Table 2. Combination therapy experiment survival comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Model</th>
<th>BT12</th>
<th>BT16</th>
<th>GBM34</th>
<th>GBM43</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control vs treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs PT</td>
<td>$P=.012^*$</td>
<td>$P=.004$</td>
<td>$P=.001$</td>
<td>$P=.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs RT</td>
<td>$P=.012^*$</td>
<td>$P=.049^*$</td>
<td>$P=.005$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs PB -&gt; RT</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs PB + RT</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs RT -&gt; PB</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monotherapy vs monotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB vs RT</td>
<td>$P=.232$</td>
<td>$P=.343$</td>
<td>$P=.017$</td>
<td>$P=.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB vs combinations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB vs PB -&gt; RT</td>
<td>$P=.213$</td>
<td>$P=.009$</td>
<td>$P=.567$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB vs PB + RT</td>
<td>$P=.046^*$</td>
<td>$P=.001$</td>
<td>$P=.124$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB vs RT -&gt; PB</td>
<td>$P=.014$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P=.111$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT vs combinations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT vs PB -&gt; RT</td>
<td>$P=.010$</td>
<td>$P=.002$</td>
<td>$P=.756$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT vs PB + RT</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P=.001$</td>
<td>$P=.460$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.025^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT vs RT -&gt; PB</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination vs combination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB -&gt; RT vs PB + RT</td>
<td>$P=.214$</td>
<td>$P=.042^*$</td>
<td>$P=.471$</td>
<td>$P=.121$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB -&gt; RT vs RT -&gt; PB</td>
<td>$P=.056^*$</td>
<td>$P=.047^*$</td>
<td>$P=.046^*$</td>
<td>$P=.421$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT + PB vs RT -&gt; PB</td>
<td>$P=.453$</td>
<td>$P=.650$</td>
<td>$P=.172$</td>
<td>$P&lt;.022^*$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GBM, glioblastoma; PB, palbociclib; RT, radiation treatment.

Bold = Lowest $P$ value(s) in comparing groups for each tumor model. Italics = Meets the Bonferroni adjusted threshold for multiple testing. Threshold values: $P=.010$ for control versus treatments; $P=.050$ for monotherapy versus monotherapy; $P>.017$ for PB versus combinations, RT versus combinations, and combination versus combination. $^* = P$ values in which significance designations were lost on multiple testing correction.
differences in extent of palbociclib response for the RB-positive
tumors studied here such as the contrasting results for
phospho-RB recovery of palbociclib-treated BT12 and BT16
cells (Supplementary material, Fig. S1).
In the current study, we extended the previously reported
observation of rhabdoid tumor cell sensitivity to palbociclib by
showing that intracranial ATRT xenografts, either lacking or ex-
pressing low levels of p16, are responsive to orally administered
cells and prevents tumor growth by specific inhibition of
cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6 arrests the
progression of DNA repair suggests that the superior antitumor effect of
palbociclib treatment following RT is a result of this sequence
inhibiting DNA double-strand break repair. This effect presumably
increases tumor cell death from RT. Our results therefore
suggest that palbociclib may best be used in an adjuvant set-
ning following completion of RT.
A recent study has shown that palbociclib is radioprotective
for hematopoietic progenitor cells in genetically engineered
mice that develop melanoma. This protective effect was ob-
served without compromising radiation efficacy against sponta-
neously arising tumors in the genetically engineered mice.
Similarly, our analysis of intracranial tumors in mice subjected
to combined palbociclib and RT treatment showed no cell
dearth in surrounding brain tissue (Supplementary material,
Fig. S6). These results therefore support combined palbociclib
and RT as having a high therapeutic index for tumor. In total,
our observations support the clinical evaluation of palbociclib
when used as adjuvant therapy subsequent to RT for brain tu-
mors with p16 deficiency. This may prove to be particularly
valuable for treating young children with brain tumors.

Supplementary material
Supplementary material is available online at Neuro-Oncology
(http://neuro-oncology.oxfordjournals.org/).
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